

60

केंद्रीय सूचना आयोग
 CENTRAL INFORMATION COMMISSION
 बाबा गंगनाथ मार्ग
 Baba Gangnath Marg
 मुनिरका, नई दिल्ली- 110067
 Munirka, New Delhi-110067

02/29/8

File no.: CIC/BRDOR/A/2021/118919

In the matter of:
T K Singh

... Appellant

VS

Central Public Information Officer
 Director General, Border Roads,
 Seema Sadak Bhawan, Ring Road, Delhi Cantt,
 New Delhi- 110010

... Respondent

RTI application filed on	:	22/12/2020
CPIO replied on	:	19/01/2021
First appeal filed on	:	02/02/2021
First Appellate Authority order	:	11/02/2021
Second Appeal filled on	:	10/05/2021
Date of Hearing	:	17/08/2022
Date of Decision	:	17/08/2022

The following were present:

Appellant: Present over VC

Respondent: Sunil Kumar, EE (Civil) and CPIO, present over VC

Information Sought:

The appellant has sought the following information:

- Provide the marks scored by the appellant and other four officers, viz. SAO Rakesh Sopori, SAO Anil Kumar P, SAO Vijay Raju Racharla and SAO Ram Nath Yadav, in the interview held on 10 Dec. 2020, through Video Conferencing, for the selection of BCA posting.

Grounds for Second Appeal

The CPIO did not provide the desired information.

Submissions made by Appellant and Respondent during Hearing:

The appellant submitted that his right is being violated as he was not given a posting and was informed that he scored less marks.

The CPIO submitted that as per practice only the selected candidates are informed regarding their posting. Moreover, there is no practice of disclosing the marks to the other candidates. He also summed up stating that the reply was given on 19.01.2021 as per the RTI Act.

Observations:

The CPIO vide written submissions dated 10.08.2022 submitted that the appellant's case was considered neither related to human rights violation nor allegation of corruption. As such, the request for disclosure of marks scored by the applicant could not be considered.

The Commission observed that BRO being a subordinate and executive arm of BRDB which is included in second schedule of the RTI Act is exempted from its operation u/s 24 except with regard to the cases of human rights violation and allegation of corruption.

Decision:

In view of the fact that the appellant failed to demonstrate any human rights violation or allegation of corruption, there is no action required in this case.

The appeal is disposed of accordingly.

Vanaja N. Sarma (वनजा एन. सरना)

Information Commissioner (सूचना आयुक्त)

Authenticated true copy

(अभिप्रमाणित सत्यापितप्रति)

A.K. Assija (ऐ.के. असीजा)

Dy. Registrar (उप-पंजीयक)

011-26182594 /

दिनांक/ Date 22-08-22

Copy to:

T K Singh

HQ 762 Border Roads Task Force,

Pin: 930762,

C/o 56 APO



सूचना का अधिकार प्रकोष्ठ/R.T.I. Cell	
महानिदेशक / Director General	
पारदर्शिता अधिकारी / Transparency Officer	
प्रमुख अधिकारी / Chief Officer	
प्रथम अपीलकर्ता / First Appellant	
द्वितीय अपीलकर्ता / Second Appellant	
सूचना अधिकारी / Information Officer	
सूचना आयोग/सूचना आयोग/ C.P.I.O.	
अधीक्षक/Office Suopt	

29/8
fir by Post Gp 4